

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMIT United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignus 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 740756-2328 09/908,727 07/20/2001 Hisashi Ohtani 8954 22204 06/30/2003 NIXON PEABODY, LLP EXAMINER 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE BOOTH, RICHARD A SUITE 800 MCLEAN, VA 22102 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2812

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	-
		09/908,		OHTANI ET AL.	•
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit	
		Richard		2812	
	The MAILING DATE of this communic				dress
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>22 April 2002</i>			
2a) □		b)⊠ This action i			
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition f	· —		atters, prosecution as to th	ie merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
		onlication			
,	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.				
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1 Certified copies of the priority do				
	2. Certified copies of the priority do				
 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No f Informal Patent Application (PT	
S. Patent and T	rademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/908,727

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of embodiment 1 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 13-20, 25-27, and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zhang et al., U.S. Patent 5,529,937.

Zhang et al. shows the invention as claimed including a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon 304 over a glass substrate 301; forming a protective layer 308 by plasma CVD; irradiating said semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing said semiconductor film; removing the oxide film after the irradiating step (see col. 13-line 11 to col. 14-line 3); and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating in an inert hydrogen environment after the oxide removal step (see col. 17-lines 17-21).

Regarding claims 25-26, note that furnace annealing is performed prior to laser annealing (see col. 13-lines 16-18).

Application/Control Number: 09/908,727

Art Unit: 2812

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al., U.S. Patent 5,529,937 in view of Ishihara et al., U.S. Patent 5,891,764.

Zhang et al. is applied as above but fails to expressly disclose the laser light having a line-shaped cross section elongated in one direction.

Ishihara et al. discloses using a rectangle shaped laser beam (see fig. 2 and col. 4-lines 12-22). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Zhang et al. so as to have a laser with a line-shaped cross section as suggested by Ishihara et al.

Art Unit: 2812

because Ishihara et al. teaches that such a laser shape is suitable for annealing thin film transistor active layers.

Claims 7-12 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al., U.S. Patent 5,529,937 in view of Wolf et al., "Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era Volume 1: Process Technology".

Zhang et al. is applied as above but fails to expressly disclose removing the oxide film using hydrofluoric acid or forming the oxide film through thermal oxidation.

Wolf et al. discloses forming oxide layers on silicon is common and forms a stable and tenuous layer on the surface (see page 198, first paragraph). Additionally, Wolf et al. discloses it is common to remove silicon oxide using hydrofluoric acid (see page 532) since the etch is selective to silicon. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Zhang et al. so as to form the oxide film through thermal oxidation since it is common and the process is suitable to form a stable film and remove the oxide using hydrofluoric acid because such an etch is selective to the underlying silicon. With respect to the inert gas being nitrogen, the examiner takes official notice that nitrogen is a well known inert gas that is commonly substituted for hydrogen gas.

Art Unit: 2812

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard A. Booth whose telephone number is 308-3446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 308-7724 for regular communications and 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1782.

Richard A. Booth Primary Examiner Art Unit 2812

June 25, 2003